facsimile TRANSMITTAL

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER APR 07 Zuvo

Date: April 7, 2005

No. of Pages: 5 (including this cover sheet)

Fax No.: (703) 872-9306

PLEASE DELIVER THE FOLLOWING PAGES IMMEDIATELY TO:

Name: Commissioner of Patents

Art Unit: 3739

Examiner: Aaron R. Roane

(571) 272-4771 Phone:

From: Anne Wang

Reg No. 36,045

Re: Application No. 10/622,221

Filed July 18, 2003

Entitled ENHANCED ABLATION AND MAPPING CATHETER AND METHOD

FOR TREATING ATRIAL FIBRILLATION

File: 50571/AW/W112

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PAPER IS BEING FACSIMILE TRANSMITTED TO THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE ON April 7, 2005.

Lisa D. Bronk

*Correspondence: RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Christie, Parker & Hale, LLP 350 West Colorado Boulevard

Post Office Box 7068 Pasadena, CA 91109-7068

626-795-9900

Fax: 626-577-8800

For Office Services Use Only Return Fax to Lisa D. Bronk

confidentlal

The information in this transmission is confidential and is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone collect, and return the original message to us at the above address via U.S. mail. We will reimburse you for postage. Thank you.

....

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER APR 0 7 2005

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on April 7, 2005.

Appl No.

: 10/622,221

Confirmation No. 4670

Applicant

: Martin O'Sullivan, et al.

Filed

: July 18, 2003

Title

: ENHANCED ABLATION AND MAPPING CATHETER AND METHOD

FOR TREATING ATRIAL FIBRILLATION

TC/A.U.

: 3739

Examiner

: Aaron F. Roane

Docket No. : 50571/AW/W112

Customer No.: 23363

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Post Office Box 7068 Pasadena, CA 91109-7068

April 7, 2005

Commissioner:

In the Office action dated February 10, 2005, the Examiner required restriction to one of two inventions, namely, Group I claims 1-31 drawn to an ablation catheter, and Group II claims 32 and 33, drawn to a method of treating the heart. In accordance with this requirement, Applicant elects, without traverse, Group I claims 1-31.

In addition, the Examiner required restriction to one of two species of the invention, namely Species 1, directed to a single electrode catheter, and Species 2, directed to a multiple electrode catheter. In response to this requirement, Applicant elects, with traverse, Species I, directed to a single electrode catheter. The claims readable on this species include 1-31.

Appln No. 10/622,221 Amdt date April 7, 2005 Reply to Office action of February 10, 2005

Applicant makes this election with traverse noting that the nonelected Species II, directed to a multiple electrode catheter, is merely a more limited embodiment of the single electrode In light of this, Applicant submits that catheter of Species I. the single electrode catheter of Species I and the multiple electrode catheter of Species II do not constitute patentably distinct species of the invention, and therefore respectfully requests withdrawal of this restriction requirement.

The Examiner also required restriction of the invention to one of two subspecies, namely, Subspecies A directed to a catheter having a ring electrode, and Subspecies B directed to a In response to this catheter having a wrapped coil electrode. requirement, Applicant elects, without traverse, Subspecies B directed to a wrapped coil electrode. The claims readable on this subspecies are 1-31.

Also, the Examiner required restriction to one of four subsubspecies, namely Sub-subspecies I directed to a nylon porous sleeve, Sub-subspecies II directed to a sintered ceramic porous sleeve, Sub-subspecies III directed to a woven mesh porous sleeve and Sub-subspecies IV directed to a cellular foam porous In response to this requirement, Applicant elects, with traverse, Sub-subspecies I directed to a nylon porous sleeve. claims readable on this sub-subspecies include 1-31. Applicant makes this election with traverse noting that the nonelected sub-subspecies, namely, the sintered ceramic porous sleeve, the woven mesh porous sleeve and the cellular foam porous sleeve, are merely more limited embodiments of the nylon porous sleeve. In view of this, Applicant submits that the

.

Appln No. 10/622,221 Amdt date April 7, 2005 Reply to Office action of February 10, 2005

nylon porous sleeve, the sintered ceramic porous sleeve, the woven mesh porous sleeve and the cellular foam porous sleeve do not constitute patentably distinct species of the invention, and therefore respectfully requests withdrawal of this restriction requirement.

Finally, the Examiner required restriction to one of two sub-sub-subspecies, namely Sub-sub-subspecies alpha depicted in Figure 6 and Sub-sub-subspecies beta depicted in Figure 7. response to this requirement, Applicant elects, with traverse, Sub-sub-subspecies alpha depicted in Figure 6. currently no claims readable on either sub-sub-subspecies. Applicant makes this election with traverse noting that Figures 6 and 7 depict the same catheter, as described in the specification at page 3, lines 28-29. Figures 6 and 7 are merely alternative views of the same puller wire anchor. Specifically, Figure 6 depicts a top cross-sectional view of the puller wire anchor, and Figure 7 depicts a side cross-sectional view of the same puller wire anchor. Accordingly, Applicant submits that Figures 6 and 7 do not depict patentably distinct species of the invention, and therefore respectfully requests withdrawal of this restriction requirement.

> Respectfully submitted, CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP

Anne Wang

Reg. No. 36,045 626/795-9900

LES/les LES PAS616606.1-*-04/6/05 2:04 PM